INEEL WATER INTEGRATION PROJECT MEETING MINUTES Wednesday, February 27, 2002

Attendees:

Name	Organization	E-Mail Address
Doug Burns	INEEL	deb4@inel.gov
Jan Brown	INEEL	browjm@inel.gov
John Tanner	Coalition 21	pust@srv.net
Al Yonk	INEEL	yonkak@inel.gov
Dave Fredericks	INEEL Oversight Program	dfrederi@deq.state.id.us]
Brad Bugger	DOE-ID	buggerbp@id.doe.gov
Jeff Perry	DOE-ID	perrjn@id.doe.gov
Richard Kauffman	DOE-ID	kauffmrm@id.doe.gov
Kendall Kincaid	DOE-ID	kinkaikl@id.doe.gov
Aran Armstrong	Northwind	aarmstrong@nwindenv.com

On Phone:

Tony Kluk	DOE-HQ	anthony.kluk@em.doe.gov
E.B. Nuckols	DOE-HQ	ernest.nuckols@em.doe.gov
Beatrice Brailsford	Snake River Alliance	beatrice.brailsford@environet.org
Dave Rydalch	INEEL Citizens Advisory Board	weedfree@fretel.com
Stacey Francis	INEEL	syf@inel.gov
Ken Kapsi	DOE-Richland	Kenneth_A_Kapsi@rl.gov

Review of Action Tracking Log and 30-day Look Ahead

Several completion dates on the 30-day Look Ahead Schedule were revised as a result of activities since the last meeting as follows

Project Execution Plan (PEP) Development

Review PEP with Citizen's Advisory Board – 3/19/02 or 3/20/02

Stakeholder Communication Activities

Review draft public involvement plan -3/15/02

(note: the draft plan will be available on the website next week)

INEEL VZ Roadmap Development

Prioritize technical uncertainties – 4/3/02

Website Information

Information to be posted on the website needs to be sent to Jan Brown by COB Tuesdays to be posted on the website in time for the Wednesday meetings. Stacey Francis will be the backup when Jan is not available.

Stakeholder Involvement Activities

Jan Brown presented the Stakeholder Involvement Plan outline. The preamble is a first cut of expressing the principles discussed at the retreat, notably the interest of Idahoans in effects of INEEL activities on the Snake River Plain Aquifer and the desire to trust and have confidence in INEEL scientists and officials.

Much of the rest of the Plan is taken from existing INEEL documents. Suggestions for additional items to be incorporated included:

Specifically include internal stakeholders (INEEL employees)
Add Hispanic population as stakeholders (1/4 of Minidoka County is Hispanic)
Add Measures of Success

Discussed how to include interface with outside agencies (USGS, DEQ/Oversight, EPA). The Water Integration Project isn't looking to override the authority of the other agencies, but to increase collaboration and cooperation. Agreed that the relationship needs to be described in the Stakeholder Involvement Plan, but detailed in the PEP.

Jeff Perry asked that representatives from outside DOE and the INEEL provide specific ideas on measures of success. It was suggested to contact Hanford (Mary Harmon works for DOE-HQ on Richland projects.) regarding how they measure success.

John Tanner expressed concern that there were relatively few outside stakeholders involved at the retreat. Doug Burns reiterated that efforts to expand the audience are ongoing. John also suggested that it was better to go to the stakeholders rather than expect them to come to you. This can be accomplished by not only meeting with individuals, but attending meetings with existing groups and organizations.

The Stakeholder Involvement Plan will be available on the website by next Wednesday, and comments are due to Jan Brown by March 15, 2002.

Prioritization Criteria Value Engineering Session

The VE session will be rescheduled once it is confirmed the principles will be able to attend. Target dates are 4/2/02 and 4/3/02. It was reiterated that public values need to be reflected in the criteria developed.

Stakeholders who do not attend the VE session need to be solicited for input. The following action items were identified.

- Brad Bugger will develop a list of people/groups who should be contacted for input.
- Doug Burns will draft a set of questions to elicit what they think is important.
- Al Yonk will write a brief description of the VE sessions goals and expected output.
- Jeff Perry will write up a short description of the Water Integration Project.
- Deficiencies documents need to be available on the webpage.

By 3/13 – meetings set up for input in person or by phone

By 3/20 - Input should be received

By 3/27 – Information needs to be sent out to VE participants so they have time to read and become familiar with objectives, input, and process

The list of VE session participants has been revised. List will be sent out and posted to the website. The session will be two full days. First, the group will establish the criteria, then they will use the criteria to prioritize the uncertainties. Concerns about the composition of the group and the use of the output of the session were expressed. It was explained that the intent is to help develop a path forward using an informed, defensible process and to help DOE make better decisions, not to justify decisions.

Announcing INEEL Progress

Jeff reported on attending the Water Resources Committee Meeting where USGS:

- presented their new conceptual model,
- explored implications for the current INEEL model, and
- discussed how to work with USGS to verify and validate the model.

As INEEL and its partners start to integrate programs and projects successfully, we need to get that information out, both internally and externally. The group discussed how to spread the good news about work integration via the website, iNotes, the Weekly, etc. Beatrice Brailsford noted that we also need to mention bad news – lessons learned from not being integrated.

Jeff Perry will write up the information from the Water Resources Committee and all will think of a title for a "congratulations" feature to recognize accomplishments.

Next Meeting

Wednesday, March 6, 2002.